

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/688,281	10/13/2000	Frederick J. Oko JR.		9490	
75	7590 11/06/2003			EXAMINER	
Roberts Abokhair & Mardula LLC			WON, YOUNG N		
11800 SunriseValley Drive Suite 1000 Reston, VA 20191-5302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2155	11	
			DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003	, 4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ī			
Office Action Summany	09/688,281	OKO ET AL.	<u> </u>			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE of this communication and	Young N Won	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ewithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 C	October 2000 .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. 					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (t).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language pro						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 28	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-27 have been examined and are pending with this action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson et al. (US 6457045 B1) in view of Bowcutt et al. (US 6308328 B1).

As per claim 1, Hanson teaches a method (see title) for influencing dynamic community shared elements of content programming comprising: a plurality of participants (see col.1, lines 41-45) obtaining electronic votes that they may later cast (see col.1, lines 52-59); a polling server polling the plurality of participants over a network for their opinion concerning the content of programming (see col.2, lines 43-51); the plurality of participants casting their respective electronic votes concerning the content of programming via the network (see col.2, lines 36-40); the polling server receiving the electronic votes of the participants, tallying the electronic votes and reporting those results to a content server (see col.2, lines 57-63 and col.16, lines 64-66); the content server receiving the votes and retrieving content based upon the

Art Unit: 2155

opinion expressed by the majority of participants (see col.2, lines 57-63). Hanson does not explicitly teach that the polling is done periodically. Bowcutt teaches of polling periodically (see col.19, lines 16-20). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Bowcutt within the system of Hanson by implementing periodically polling within the method of influencing dynamic community shared elements of content programming because higher polling frequency results in more accurate statistics and Bowcutt teaches that some data are requested more frequently than others during a session, thus periodic polling obtains greater and more accurate results.

As per claim 2, Hanson further teaches wherein the content is selected from the group consisting of audio, video, on-line games and text (see col.2, line 64 to col.3, line 4).

As per claim 3, Hanson further teaches wherein the content is created in real time (see col.18, lines 15-17).

As per claim 4, Hanson further wherein the content is stored content (see col.2, lines 35-36).

As per claim 5, Hanson further teaches wherein obtaining electronic votes comprises the participants purchasing the electronic votes over the network (see col.2, line 64 to col.3, line 3).

As per claim 6, Hanson further teaches wherein the obtaining electronic votes comprise, the participants being given the electronic votes (see col.2, lines 46-48).

Art Unit: 2155

As per claim 7 and 22, Hanson further teaches wherein the obtaining electronic votes comprises the participants purchase electronic votes of differing values per vote over the network (see col.2, line 64 to col.3, line 3).

As per claim 8, Hanson teaches of further comprising the polling server providing the results of the electronic votes cast by the participants over the network (see col.16, lines 64-66 and col.20, lines 3-6).

As per claim 9, Hanson teaches of further comprising the plurality of participants forming sub-communities of participants for voting purposes (see title: "group").

As per claim 10, Hanson teaches of further comprising the sub-communities pre-voting over the network to determine the direction of the sub-communities voting (see col.1, lines 42-45).

As per claim 11, Hanson teaches of further comprising the polling server reporting to the sub-community the results of the sub-community's voting over the network (see col.16, lines 64-66; col.18, lines 15-17; and col.20, lines 3-6).

As per claim 12, Hanson further teaches wherein the network is the Internet (see col.3, lines 8-10).

As per claim 13, Hanson does not explicitly teach wherein the network is a cable TV network. Bowcutt teaches of a cable TV network (see title). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Bowcutt within the system of Hanson by implementing the a cable television network within the method and system of influencing dynamic community shared elements of content programming because Bowcutt teaches that

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/688,281

Art Unit: 2155

such polling for statistics gathering accounts for efficient and complete network management.

3. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hanson et al. (US 6457045 B1) and Bowcutt et al. (US 6308328 B1), further in view of Anderson et al. (US 4290141 A).

As per claims 14 and 15, Hanson does not explicitly teach wherein the network is an RF network or that the network comprises a wired network and a wireless network (inherent), and wherein the participants vote via the wireless network. Anderson teaches of an RF network (see col.6, lines 31-34) and wherein the participants vote via the wireless network (see abstract). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of Anderson within the system of Hanson and Bowcutt by implementing an RF network and wherein the participants vote via the wireless network within the method and system of influencing dynamic community shared elements of content programming because RF allows for wireless communication in which votes may be cast by mobile devices increasing functionality and use.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2155

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 16-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hanson et al. (US 6457045 B1).

As per claim 16, Hanson teaches a system (see title) for influencing dynamic community shared elements of content programming comprising: a plurality of participant devices each associated with a participant connected to a network (see Fig.1 and col.5, lines 62-64), the participant devices further comprising instructions for obtaining and casting electronic votes (see col.1, lines 52-59); a transaction server connected to the network further comprising instructions for receiving requests from the participant devices to obtain electronic votes, and instructions for delivering electronic votes to the participant devices over the network (see col.2, lines 57-63 and col.26, line 64 to col.27, line 13); a polling server connected to the network for receiving the electronic votes from the participant devices in response to polls server by the polling server (see col.27, lines 14-16); the polling server further comprising instructions for

Art Unit: 2155

receiving and tallying the electronic votes received from the participant devices, and reporting the tally (see col.27, lines 17-20 and col.16, lines 64-66); and a content server connected to the polling server for receiving the tally of the electronic votes, the content server further comprising instructions for modifying content served to the participant devices in response to the tally of electronic votes (see col.2, lines 57-63).

As per claim 17, Hanson further teaches wherein the content is selected from the group consisting of audio, video, online games and text (see claim 2 rejection above).

As per claim 18, Hanson further teaches wherein the content is created in real time (see claim 3 rejection above).

As per claim 19, Hanson further teaches wherein the content is stored content (see claim 4 rejection above).

As per claim 20, Hanson further teaches wherein the electronic votes are purchased by the participant via the participant device, by the transaction server over the network (see claim 5 rejection above).

As per claim 21, Hanson further teaches wherein the electronic votes, are given to the participant devices by the transaction server over the network (see claim 6 rejection above).

As per claim 22, Hanson further teaches wherein the electronic votes comprise votes of different values (see claim 7 rejection above).

As per claim 23, Hanson further teaches wherein participant devices further comprise instructions for casting the electronic votes in response to a poll served by the polling server (see claim 8 rejection above).

As per claim 24, Hanson further teaches wherein the polling server further comprises instructions for reporting the results of the voting to the participant devices over the network (see claim 11 rejection above).

As per claim 25, Hanson further teaches wherein the polling server further comprises instructions for receiving requests from participant devices to form a sub-community of participant devices (see claim 9 rejection above).

As per claim 26, Hanson further teaches wherein the polling server further comprises instructions for permitting a pre-vote of the sub-community on a given poll (see claim 10 rejection above).

As per claim 27, Hanson further teaches wherein the polling server further comprises instructions for reporting the sub-community vote to the participant devices of the sub-community (see claim 11 rejection above).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Young N Won whose telephone number is 703-605-4241. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th: 8AM-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T Alam can be reached on 703-308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Page 9

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Young N Won

October 29, 2003

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER